

Sample Company

Prepared By:
John Smith
ABC Consulting, Inc.
April 27, 2005

BOARD GOVERNANCE REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

METHODOLOGY	ii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Board Member Comparison	1
Board Member Comparison	2
BOARD EFFECTIVENESS	
Board Performance Score	6
BOARD EFFECTIVENESS - Results Detail	
Board Composition Board & CEO Compensation Strategic Planning Board Procedures	7
Board & CEO Compensation	7
Strategic Planning.	8
Board Procedures	8
Board Interaction	9
Board Information.	10
Board Committees	11
Poard and CEO Effectiveness	12

Methodology

The assessment employs a six-point interval scale to evaluate your Board's performance measured against 68 best-practice statements tied to 8 Board Effectiveness categories. Each statement requires a response ranging from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree". Participants may also choose a "Don't Know" or "Not Applicable" response. Responses from all participants are aggregated resulting in performance mean scores.

The Results & Key Findings report is designed to reflect:

- 1. Priorities: The areas the Board Members view to have the most impact on their current effectiveness.
- 2. Performance: The Board Member's perception of the Board's overall performance in each of the 8 categories.
- 3. Comparison: The degree to which Inside and Outside Directors agree or disagree on priorities and performance.
- 4. Consensus: The level of agreement or disagreement that exists among the Board Members regarding performance in key areas.

How to Use this Report

- 1. Review the Executive Summary/Board Member Comparison and Degree of Impact pages to understand:
 - How all Board Members collectively rate their overall performance.
 - How the inside and outside Board Members rate their overall performance.
 - How all Board Members collectively prioritized the each of the board effectiveness categories.
 - How the inside and outside Board Members prioritized each of the board effectiveness categories.
- 2. Use the Detail Results to see how participants individually rated performance of the high-impact areas. Is there consensus or polarity?
- 3. Use this information to prioritize key performance improvement goals and to develop and execute a Board Effectiveness plan.
- 4. Take the assessment again in approximately 6 to 12 months to compare results in targeted improvement areas.


Notice: This report reflects the views and opinions of the individuals that have completed the QuadBoard® assessment. The information contained within this report does not imply, direct, or recommend specific actions to be taken on the part of the assessment respondents or the company or firm they represent. It is recommended that you utilize the skills of a certified consultant to facilitate the process.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Board Member Comparison

This page compares performance ratings for each of the 8 Board categories.

Performance ratings are first displayed in aggregate and then separately for the Inside and Outside Board Member groups. Means scores are listed under "Perf.".




# All Board Members Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.11% 2 Strategic Planning High 54.53% 3 Board Interaction Med 82.92% 4 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 56.79% 5 Board Composition Med 66.20% 6 Board Information Med 84.72% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.17% # Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 8 Board Interaction High 36.46% 8 Board Information High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.42%	zani	s scores are listed under Fe	11	
2 Strategic Planning High 54.53% Board Interaction Med 82.92% 4 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 56.79% 5 Board Composition Med 66.20% 6 Board Information Med 84.72% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.17% # Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 8 Board Information High 33.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 85.94% 6 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board Committees Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	#	All Board Members	Impact	Perf.
Board Interaction Board and CEO Effectiveness Board Composition Board Committees Board Information Board Information Board Procedures Impact Board and CEO Effectiveness High To.56% Strategic Planning Board Committees Board Committees Board Committees Board & CEO Compensation Board Interaction Board Interaction Board Procedures Board Composition Board Composition Board Interaction Board Information Board Procedures Board Information Board Procedures Board Information Board Information Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Compensation Board Information Board Information Board Information Board Information Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Compensation Board Information Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Compensation High Board Sceo Composition Med Board Information Med Board Sceo Composition Med Board Sceo Composition Med Board Composition Med Board Composition Med Board Composition Med Board Committees Med Board Committees Low Board Committees Board Committees Board Committees Low Board Committees Board Co	1	Board & CEO Compensation	High	36.11%
4 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 66.20% 6 Board Composition Med 67.51% 7 Board Information Med 84.72% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.17% # Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Interaction Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 4 Board Interaction High 36.46% 5 Board Interaction High 83.33% 8 Board Information High 32.87% 9 Board Information Med 85.94% 9 Board Composition Med 86.98% 9 Board Composition Med 86.98% 9 Board Committees Med 39.58% 9 Board Committees Med 39.58% 9 Board Committees Med 39.58% 9 Board Committees Low 87.12%	2	Strategic Planning	High	54.53%
5Board CompositionMed66.20%6Board CommitteesMed67.51%7Board InformationMed84.72%8Board ProceduresLow39.17%#Inside DirectorsImpactPerf.1Board and CEO EffectivenessHigh70.56%2Strategic PlanningHigh71.85%3Board & CEO CompensationHigh35.83%4Board CommitteesMed51.82%5Board CompositionMed49.58%6Board InteractionMed82.59%7Board ProceduresLow38.97%8Board InformationLow83.75%#Outside DirectorsImpactPerf.1Board & CEO CompensationHigh36.46%2Board InteractionHigh32.87%4Board InformationMed85.94%5Board CompositionMed86.98%6Board and CEO EffectivenessMed39.58%7Board CommitteesLow87.12%	3	Board Interaction	Med	82.92%
6 Board Committees Med 67.51% 7 Board Information Med 84.72% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.17% # Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 36.46% 5 Board Information High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board Committees Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	4	Board and CEO Effectiveness	Med	56.79%
7 Board Information Med 84.72% 8 Board Procedures Low 39.17% # Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 82.59% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 8 Board Information Low 38.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board Committees Low 87.12%	5	Board Composition	Med	66.20%
# Inside Directors Impact Perf. Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% Strategic Planning High 71.85% Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% Board Committees Med 51.82% Board Composition Med 49.58% Board Interaction Med 82.59% Board Procedures Low 38.97% Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% Board Interaction High 32.87% Board Interaction High 32.87% Board Information High 32.87% Board Information Med 85.94% Board Composition Med 86.98% Board Composition Med 86.98% Board Committees Low 87.12%	6	Board Committees	Med	67.51%
# Inside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	7	Board Information	Med	84.72%
1 Board and CEO Effectiveness High 70.56% 2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	8	Board Procedures	Low	39.17%
2 Strategic Planning High 71.85% 3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 36.46% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board Committees Low 87.12%	#	Inside Directors	Impact	Perf.
3 Board & CEO Compensation High 35.83% 4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	1	Board and CEO Effectiveness	High	70.56%
4 Board Committees Med 51.82% 5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	2	Strategic Planning	High	71.85%
5 Board Composition Med 49.58% 6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	3	Board & CEO Compensation	High	35.83%
6 Board Interaction Med 82.59% 7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	4	Board Committees	Med	51.82%
7 Board Procedures Low 38.97% 8 Board Information Low 83.75% # Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	5	Board Composition	Med	49.58%
8Board InformationLow83.75%#Outside DirectorsImpactPerf.1Board & CEO CompensationHigh36.46%2Board InteractionHigh83.33%3Strategic PlanningHigh32.87%4Board InformationMed85.94%5Board CompositionMed86.98%6Board and CEO EffectivenessMed39.58%7Board CommitteesLow87.12%	6	Board Interaction	Med	82.59%
# Outside Directors Impact Perf. 1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	7	Board Procedures	Low	38.97%
1 Board & CEO Compensation High 36.46% 2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	8	Board Information	Low	83.75%
2 Board Interaction High 83.33% 3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	#	Outside Directors	Impact	Perf.
3 Strategic Planning High 32.87% 4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	1	Board & CEO Compensation	High	36.46%
4 Board Information Med 85.94% 5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	2	Board Interaction	High	83.33%
5 Board Composition Med 86.98% 6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	3	Strategic Planning	High	32.87%
6 Board and CEO Effectiveness Med 39.58% 7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	4	Board Information	Med	85.94%
7 Board Committees Low 87.12%	5	Board Composition	Med	86.98%
	6	Board and CEO Effectiveness	Med	39.58%
8 Board Procedures Low 39.42%	7	Board Committees	Low	87.12%
	8	Board Procedures	Low	39.42%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Degree of Impact All Board Members


This page plots the 8 Categories of Board Effectiveness as perceived by **All Board Members**. The vertical axis represents the low to high performance mean scores. The horizontal axis represents the order of priority, with the highest priority to the right. The Priorities table reflects the 8 Categories in order of weighted priority, as determined by the group. The performance mean score ("Perf") is also listed for each Category.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Degree of Impact Inside Directors


This page plots the 8 Categories of Board Effectiveness as perceived by the **Inside Board Members**. The vertical axis represents the low to high performance mean scores. The horizontal axis represents the order of priority, with the highest priority to the right. The Priorities table reflects the 8 Categories in order of weighted priority, as determined by the group. The performance mean score ("Perf") is also listed for each Category.




EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Degree of Impact Outside Directors

This page plots the 8 Categories of Board Effectiveness as perceived by **Outside Board Members**. The vertical axis represents the low to high performance mean scores. The horizontal axis represents the order of priority, with the highest priority to the right. The Priorities table reflects the 8 Categories in order of weighted priority, as determined by the group. The performance mean score ("Perf") is also listed for each Category.





8%

12%

100%


10%

100%



Results Detail

This section of the report presents performance scores and shows the percent of Agree or Disagree responses selected by the respondents for each of the 8 Categories. This section of the report also shows the distribution of responses for each statement by group.



Board Effectiveness

Detail Results

This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively.

	and Outside Director								ors respectively.							
Board Composition	FREQUE					RESPO			Pos.		Std.		Mean	Std.		
•		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.		
1. We have identified the necessary skills and	All Board Members	0%	11%	11%	22%	22%	11%	22%	33%	62.96%	28.60	All Board Members	66.20%	25.48		
knowledge we must have on the Board.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	43.33%	19.00	Inside Directors	49.58%	21.18		
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	75%	87.50%	15.96	Outside Directors	86.98%	10.99		
2. The Board currently has the appropriate mix	All Board Members	0%	0%	22%	11%	11%	33%	22%	56%	70.37%	26.06					
of skills and experience.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	40%	20%	20%	20%	0%	20%	53.33%	21.73	DK = Don't Know/Not		е		
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree				
3. The Board currently has the appropriate mix	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	44%	0%	33%	22%	56%	72.22%	22.05	3 = Somewhat Disagi	ree			
	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	20%	20%	60.00%	22.36	4 = Somewhat Agree				
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%	87.50%	8.34	5 = Agree				
4. When selecting new members, we recruit	All Board Members	0%	0%	44%	0%	11%	44%	0%	44%	59.26%	25.15	6 = Strongly Agree				
the most talented individuals who possess	Inside Directors	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%	20%	43.33%	22.36					
necessary skills and experience we need.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33					
5. The Board has determined clear policies and	All Board Members	0%	11%	11%	22%	11%	44%	0%	44%	61.11%	25.00					
criteria for selecting new members.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	43.33%	19.00					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.00					
6. I am satisfied with the process we use for	All Board Members	0%	11%	11%	22%	0%	33%	22%	56%	66.67%	30.05					
nominating new directors.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	20%	40%	0%	20%	0%	20%	46.67%	24.72					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62					
7. Our current Board is made up of qualified	All Board Members	0%	0%	11%	22%	22%	11%	33%	44%	72.22%	25.00					
members.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%	20%	60.00%	25.28					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	75%	87.50%	15.96					
8. We are able to reach consensus when	All Board Members	0%	0%	33%	11%	11%	22%	22%	44%	64.81%	28.19					
nominating new directors.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	60%	20%	0%	20%	0%	20%	46.67%	21.73					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	75%	87.50%	15.96					
Decad & CEO Composition			FRE	QUEN	CY OF	RESPO	NSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.		
Board & CEO Compensation		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.		
9. Our Board compensation is fair.	All Board Members	0%	22%	22%	33%	22%	0%	0%	0%	42.59%	18.84	All Board Members	36.11%	14.64		
	Inside Directors	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	43.33%	19.00	Inside Directors	35.83%	16.47		
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	21.52	Outside Directors	36.46%	12.50		
10. Our CEO's current compensation package	All Board Members	0%	11%	44%	33%	11%	0%	0%	0%	40.74%	14.70					
is fair and competitive.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	40.00%	19.00					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62					
11. Our CEO's compensation is tied to the	All Board Members	0%	22%	56%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	11.78					
performance of the organization as it relates to	Inside Directors	0%	40%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	16.67					
our strategic plan.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	0.00					
12. The board is compensated with the	All Board Members	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27.78%	8.33					
appropriate amount of non-cash compensation	Inside Directors	0%	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	26.67%	9.12					
(stock or options).	Outside Directors	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29.17%	8.33					

Board Effectiveness

Detail Results

This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively.

					and	Outsi	rs respectively.							
Strategic Planning			FRE	QUEN	CY OF	CY OF RESPONSE				Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
13. The CEO has a strategic plan that has been	All Board Members	0%	11%	11%	33%	11%	33%	0%	33%	57.41%	23.73	All Board Members	54.53%	27.00
approved by the Board.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	0%	60%	73.33%	14.91	Inside Directors	71.85%	22.42
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	15.96	Outside Directors	32.87%	12.90
14. The Board has a clear understanding of our	All Board Members	0%	22%	33%	0%	11%	22%	11%	33%	51.85%	31.67			
market and the people that we service.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	20%	40%	20%	60%	73.33%	25.28	DK = Don't Know/Not		le
	Outside Directors	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25.00%	9.62	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree	9	
15. The CEO has a strategic vision of how the	All Board Members	0%	22%	11%	22%	0%	22%	22%	44%	59.26%	33.45	3 = Somewhat Disagr	ree	
organization should be evolving over the next	Inside Directors	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	40%	40%	80%	76.67%	34.56	4 = Somewhat Agree		
three to five years.	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	15.96	5 = Agree		
16. The Board engages in strategic planning	All Board Members	0%	22%	22%	0%	22%	11%	22%	33%	57.41%	33.45	6 = Strongly Agree		
discussions with the CEO.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	20%	20%	40%	60%	76.67%	27.89			
	Outside Directors	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	23.57			
17. The Board has a process for tracking the	All Board Members	0%	11%	22%	22%	11%	33%	0%	33%	55.56%	25.00			
progress of the strategic plan.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	0%	60%	73.33%	14.91			
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61			
18. The CEO utilizes Board members' skills and	All Board Members	0%	11%	44%	0%	0%	33%	11%	44%	55.56%	31.18			
experience in crafting the strategic plan.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	60%	20%	80%	76.67%	25.28			
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29.17%	8.33			
19. The CEO regularly updates Board members	All Board Members	0%	11%	44%	0%	22%	22%	0%	22%	50.00%	25.00			
on progress of the strategic plan.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	0%	0%	40%	40%	0%	40%	63.33%	27.38			
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	0.00			
20. The Board devotes an appropriate amount	All Board Members	0%	22%	22%	11%	22%	22%	0%	22%	50.00%	26.35			
of time discussing strategy at board meetings.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%	40%	0%	40%	66.67%	20.41			
	Outside Directors	0%	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29.17%	15.96			
21. The organization is achieving its strategic	All Board Members	0%	0%	44%	11%	22%	22%	0%	22%	53.70%	21.70			
goals.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%	40%	0%	40%	66.67%	20.41			
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	8.34			
David David Lance				QUEN		RESPO	NSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Board Procedures		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
22. Board members meet no less than 4 times	All Board Members	0%	22%	22%	33%	22%	0%	0%	0%	42.59%	18.84	All Board Members	39.17%	16.13
per year.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	43.33%	19.00	Inside Directors	38.97%	13.27
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	21.52	Outside Directors	39.42%	19.25
23. The Board has effectively established its	All Board Members	0%	11%	56%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.04%	11.11			
own goals and objectives.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	60%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	11.78			
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			
24. The Board has effectively established the	All Board Members	0%	33%	22%	33%	11%	0%	0%	0%	37.04%	18.21			
CEO's goals and objectives.	Inside Directors	0%	40%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	36.67%	18.26			
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	50%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	20.97			

This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The **Board Effectiveness Detail Results** distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively. FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE Pos. Std. Mean Std. **Board Procedures (cont.)** Dev. Score Score Score Dev. DK 2 3 1 6 25. The CEO's performance is effectively 44% 22% All Board Members 0% 11% 22% 0% 0% 0% 42.59% 16.90 All Board Members 39.17% 16.13 evaluated by the Board. 0% 0% 40% 40% 20% 0% 0% 0% 46.67% 13.95 Inside Directors 38.97% 13.27 **Inside Directors** 0% 25% 50% 0% 25% 0% 0% 0% 37.50% 20.97 **Outside Directors** 39.42% 19.25 **Outside Directors** 26. The Board effectively delegates work to the All Board Members 0% 11% 33% 44% 11% 0% 0% 0% 42.59% 14.70 DK = Don't Know/Not Applicable Board committees. 0% 60% 0% 0% 43.33% 9.13 Inside Directors 0% 40% 0% 0% 1 = Strongly Disagree **Outside Directors** 25% 25% 25% 25% 0% 0% 0% 41.67% 21.52 0% 2 = Disagree 0% 27. The Board effectively selects the All Board Members 0% 11% 67% 22% 0% 0% 0% 35.19% 10.02 3 = Somewhat Disagree appropriate corporate officers to serve on the Inside Directors 20% 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 30.00% 7.45 4 = Somewhat Agree Board. 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 41.67% 9.62 5 = Agree **Outside Directors** 6 = Strongly Agree 28. The Board achieves consensus on strategic All Board Members 0% 33% 67% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 27.78% 8.33 decisions. Inside Directors 20% 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 30.00% 0% 0% 7.45 0% 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25.00% 9.62 **Outside Directors** 44% 29. The Board has developed a succession plan All Board Members 0% 0% 56% 0% 0% 0% 0% 40.74% 8.79 for itself. Inside Directors 0% 0% 60% 40% 0% 0% 0% 0% 40.00% 9.13 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 41.67% 9.62 **Outside Directors** 30. The Board has developed a succession plan All Board Members 0% 33% 0% 56% 11% 0% 0% 0% 40.74% 18.84 for the CEO. Inside Directors 20% 0% 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 43.33% 14.91 50% 25% 0% **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 37.50% 25.00 31. The Board is actively involved in monitoring 22% All Board Members 11% 44% 11% 11% 11% 44.44% 20.41 0% 0% Ethics and Business Practices. **Inside Directors** 0% 20% 40% 20% 20% 0% 0% 0% 40.00% 19.00 **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 50% 25% 0% 25% 0% 25% 50.00% 23.57 When our standards or ethics are violated. 0% 33% 56% 0% 0% 0% 31.48% 15.47 All Board Members 11% 0% we take the appropriate action. Inside Directors 20% 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 30.00% 7.45 0% 50% 25% 0% 25% 0% 0% 0% 33.33% 23.57 **Outside Directors** 33. The Board effectively resolves conflicts of All Board Members 0% 22% 22% 22% 22% 11% 0% 11% 46.30% 23.24 interest. Inside Directors 0% 0% 40% 40% 20% 0% 0% 0% 46.67% 13.95 **Outside Directors** 50% 25% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25% 45.83% 34.36 34. Board meetings are carefully planned to 0% 22% 22% 44% 11% 0% 0% 40.74% 16.90 All Board Members 0% ensure that we effectively use the Board Inside Directors 0% 0% 40% 60% 0% 0% 0% 0% 43.33% 9.13 members time. **Outside Directors** 0% 50% 0% 25% 25% 0% 0% 0% 37.50% 25.00 FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE Std. Pos. Mean Mean Std. **Board Interaction** Score Dev. Score Score Dev. 1 2 3 4 5 6 DK 35. Board members clearly understand their All Board Members 0% 0% 0% 0% 11% 44% 44% 89% 88.89% 11.79 **All Board Members** 82.92% 13.94

Inside Directors

Inside Directors

Outside Directors

Outside Directors

All Board Members

roles and responsibilities as directors.

36. The Board has frank and open discussions.

82.59%

83.33%

13.27

14.91

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

60%

25%

33%

40%

25%

40%

50%

44%

20%

75%

100% 75%

78%

60%

100%

0%

25%

22%

40%

0%

90.00%

87.50%

87.04%

80.00%

95.83%

9.13

15.96

13.89

13.94

8.34

Inside Directors

Outside Directors

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

Board Effectiveness

Detail Results

This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively.

		and Outside Directors re								rs respectively.							
Board Interaction (cont.)			FRE	QUEN	CY OF RESPONSE				Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.			
• • •		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.			
37. Board members respect differing opinions of	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	44%	44%	89%	88.89%	11.79	All Board Members	82.92%	13.94			
others.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%	80%	86.67%	13.94	Inside Directors	82.59%	13.27			
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62	Outside Directors	83.33%	14.91			
38. Board members in the minority support the	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	11%	44%	22%	22%	44%	75.93%	16.90						
majority decision when consensus is not	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	40%	40%	80.00%	18.26	DK = Don't Know/Not		le			
achieved.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree	е				
39. Board members participate fully in decision-	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	44%	22%	67%	81.48%	13.03	3 = Somewhat Disagi	ree				
making.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	60%	20%	80%	83.33%	11.78	4 = Somewhat Agree					
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96	5 = Agree					
40. We are careful to ensure that some Board	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	78%	11%	89%	83.33%	8.33	6 = Strongly Agree					
members do not dominate meetings more than	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	0%	80%	80.00%	7.45						
others.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%	87.50%	8.34						
41. Board members regularly call on each other	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	33%	22%	56%	79.63%	13.89						
to discuss issues outside of Board and	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%	60%	80.00%	13.94						
committee meetings.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96						
42. Board members resolve conflict with trust	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	11%	11%	56%	22%	78%	81.48%	15.47						
and respect.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	60%	76.67%	19.00						
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%	87.50%	8.34						
43. Our Board members have an excellent	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	11%	33%	22%	33%	56%	79.63%	18.21						
attendance record for Board meetings.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%	80%	86.67%	13.94						
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	0%	25%	25%	70.83%	20.97						
Board Information			FRE	QUEN	CY OF	RESPO	NSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.			
		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.			
44. The amount of information Board members	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	78%	11%	89%	83.33%	8.33	All Board Members	84.72%	13.05			
receive for Board meetings is manageable.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	60%	20%	80%	83.33%	11.78	Inside Directors	83.75%	12.22			
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.00	Outside Directors	85.94%	14.11			
45. The quality of Board information materials is	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	67%	83.33%	14.43						
good.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	20%	20%	40%	76.67%	14.91						
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62						
46. Information and reports from committees	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	44%	33%	78%	85.19%	13.03						
are received in ample time for adequate	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	60%	20%	80%	83.33%	11.78						
preparation for Board meetings.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	75%	87.50%	15.96						
47. Information and reports from management	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	44%	11%	56%	77.78%	11.78						
are received in ample time for adequate	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	0%	60%	76.67%	9.12						
preparation for Board meetings.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96						
48. The Board is updated regularly by the	All Board Members	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	56%	44%	100%	90.74%	8.79						
executive team at meetings.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	100%	96.67%	7.46						
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.00						

This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The **Board Effectiveness Detail Results** distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively. FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE Pos. Std. Mean Std. **Board Information (cont.)** Score Score Dev. Score Dev. DK 3 2 6 49. The Board seeks outside expert advice All Board Members 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 67% 33% 100% 88.89% 8.33 All Board Members 84.72% 13.05 when necessary. Inside Directors 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 80% 20% 100% 86.67% 7.46 Inside Directors 83.75% 12.22 **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 100% 91.67% 9.62 **Outside Directors** 85.94% 14.11 50. The executive team can be counted on to All Board Members 0% 0% 0% 0% 22% 22% 56% 78% 88.89% 14.43 provide useful information to help the Board 0% 0% 40% 20% 40% 60% 83.33% 16.67 Inside Directors carry out its duties. **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 75% 100% 95.83% 8.34 51. Our Board members take the time to All Board Members 0% 0% 11% 0% 11% 56% 22% 78% 79.63% 20.03 prepare for meetings. Inside Directors 0% 0% 0% 0% 20% 60% 20% 80% 83.33% 11.78 0% 0% 0% 50% 25% 75.00% 28.87 **Outside Directors** 25% 75% FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE Pos. Mean Std. Mean Std. **Board Committees** 1 2 3 5 **Score** Score Dev. Score Dev. DK 6 52. We have established an Audit committee 68.52% All Board Members 0% 0% 22% 11% 11% 44% 11% 56% 24.22 All Board Members 67.51% 24.67 with a charter. 53.33% **Inside Directors** 51.82% Inside Directors 0% 0% 40% 20% 20% 20% 0% 20% 21.73 19.42 0% 0% 75% 25% 87.50% **Outside Directors** 87.12% 14.32 **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 100% 8.34 53. We have established a Compensation 44% All Board Members 0% 0% 11% 33% 11% 0% 44% 64.81% 19.44 DK = Don't Know/Not Applicable committee with a clear charter. 0% 0% 20% 60% 20% 0% 0% 0% 50.00% 11.79 Inside Directors 1 = Strongly Disagree **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 100% 83.33% 0.00 2 = Disagree 54. We have established a Governance & 0% 0% 33% 33% 70.37% All Board Members 11% 0% 22% 56% 24.69 3 = Somewhat Disagree Nominating committee with a clear charter. Inside Directors 0% 20% 60% 20% 53.33% 0% 0% 0% 20% 18.26 4 = Somewhat Agree **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 100% 91.67% 9.62 5 = Agree 55. We have established a Strategy committee 6 = Strongly Agree All Board Members 0% 0% 0% 56% 22% 0% 22% 22% 64.81% 21.16 with a clear charter. 0% 60% 0% 56.67% Inside Directors 0% 40% 0% 0% 9.13 **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 50% 0% 0% 50% 50% 75.00% 28.87 Our Audit committee is effective. 0% 0% 22% 22% 0% 44% 44% 74.07% 26.50 All Board Members 11% Inside Directors 0% 0% 20% 40% 40% 0% 0% 53.33% 13.95 0% 0% 0% **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 100% 100.00% 0.00 Our Compensation committee is effective. All Board Members 11% 11% 11% 33% 22% 56% 68.52% 29.40 11% 0% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 0% 20% 50.00% 26.35 Inside Directors **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 100% 91.67% 9.62 58. Our Governance & Nominating committee is All Board Members 0% 0% 22% 11% 11% 44% 11% 56% 68.52% 24.22 effective. 0% 0% 20% 0% 20% Inside Directors 40% 20% 20% 56.67% 27.89 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 100% 83.33% 0.00 **Outside Directors** Our Strategy committee is effective. All Board Members 0% 0% 33% 22% 0% 44% 0% 44% 59.26% 23.73 0% 0% 40% 27.39 Inside Directors 0% 60% 0% 0% 40% 53.33% **Outside Directors** 0% 50% 50% 0% 19.24 50% 66.67% 60. Committee reports are frequently 0% 11% 22% 44% All Board Members 11% 0% 11% 56% 64.81% 28.19 considered when making important Board Inside Directors 0% 20% 20% 40% 20% 0% 20% 46.67% 24.72 decisions. **Outside Directors** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 75% 25% 100% 87.50% 8.34

Board Effectiveness	Detail Results					This page shows the distribution of responses for each statement. The distribution information is presented for All Board Members and then for Inside and Outside Directors respectively.									
Board Committees (cont.)			FRE	QUEN	CY OF I	RESPO	NSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.	
		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.	
61. Committee assignments reflect an	All Board Members	0%	0%	33%	11%	11%	22%	22%	44%	64.81%	28.19	All Board Members	67.51%	24.67	
appropriate mix of interests, experience, and	Inside Directors	0%	0%	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	43.33%	14.91	Inside Directors	51.82%	19.42	
skills of Board members.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62	Outside Directors	87.12%	14.32	
62. We ensure that our Board Committees are	All Board Members	0%	11%	0%	22%	11%	11%	44%	56%	74.07%	30.17				
made up exclusively from outside members.	Inside Directors	0%	20%	0%	40%	20%	20%	0%	20%	53.33%	24.72				
	Outside Directors	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%	0.00				
Board and CEO Effectiveness			FRE	QUEN	CY OF I	RESPO	NSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.	
Board and CLO Lifectiveness		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.	
63. The Board is very effective in delegating to	All Board Members	0%	11%	11%	22%	22%	33%	0%	33%	59.26%	23.73	All Board Members	56.79%	26.01	
the CEO and top management full responsibility	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	0%	60%	73.33%	14.91	Inside Directors	70.56%	21.74	
for implementing policies.	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	21.52	Outside Directors	39.58%	20.15	
64. The Board effectively works with the CEO to	All Board Members	0%	11%	22%	22%	11%	11%	22%	33%	59.26%	30.17				
increase his or her effectiveness.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	20%	20%	40%	60%	76.67%	27.89	DK = Don't Know/Not	е		
	Outside Directors	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	15.96	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree	9		
65. We provide Board Members with training	All Board Members	0%	11%	44%	0%	11%	33%	0%	33%	51.85%	26.93	2 = Disagree 3 = Somewhat Disagr	ree		
and development opportunities to increase their	Inside Directors	0%	20%	0%	0%	20%	60%	0%	60%	66.67%	28.86	4 = Somewhat Agree			
effectiveness.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	0.00	5 = Agree			
66. The overall performance of the Board is very	All Board Members	0%	22%	11%	0%	33%	33%	0%	33%	57.41%	27.78	6 = Strongly Agree			
effective.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%	40%	0%	40%	66.67%	20.41				
	Outside Directors	0%	50%	0%	0%	25%	25%	0%	25%	45.83%	34.36				
67. The overall performance of the CEO is very	All Board Members	0%	22%	11%	22%	22%	0%	22%	22%	55.56%	31.18				
effective.	Inside Directors	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%	0%	40%	40%	73.33%	27.89				
	Outside Directors	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	19.24				
68. The effective interaction of the Board and	All Board Members	0%	0%	33%	22%	11%	33%	0%	33%	57.41%	22.22				
the CEO has resulted in the successful	Inside Directors	0%	0%	0%	40%	20%	40%	0%	40%	66.67%	16.67				
execution of our strategic plan.	Outside Directors	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	45.83%	25.00				

Inside Directors - Comments.

1. As you look back over the past year on the Board, what successes stand out most?

The upgrade of our technology has been very important in keeping us competitive.

We added some very good board members.

How well management and board work together to come up with our strategy.

The board really engaged in supporting our strategic planning process.

2. What specific goals would you like to see the CEO pursue this year?

Continue upgrading our systems so that important information is available to all key decision-makers.

I'd like to see a stronger strategic planning process in place.

Better internal controls.

Opening new markets.

3. Use this space to enter any other comments you'd like to make about the Board.

I feel confident that we have the right mix of skills on the Board.

We have to revisit the whole board compensation structure and policy.

I'd like to see the board demonstrate a higher degree of trust in the management team.

I'd like to see better documentation around policies and procedures.

Outside Directors - Comments.

1. As you look back over the past year on the Board, what successes stand out most?

Our decisions regarding acquisitions have been thorough and generally successful.

I think we have done a very good job at selecting talented individuals for key senior management positions.

2. What specific goals would you like to see the CEO pursue this year?

We need to ensure a more active role of the Board in the strategic planning of the company.

We all need to take a bigger role in determining the strategy for this organization over the next 3 to 5 years.

3. Use this space to enter any other comments you'd like to make about the Board.

I have a lot of respect for the degree of skills and experiences of our Board Members.

I'd like to see some younger members on the Board when we think about replacing departing Board Members.