

Mary Baker

Prepared By:
John Smith
ABC Consulting Company
22 October, 2004

LEADERSHIP
PERFORMANCE 360



Table of Contents

VIRTUAL CEO LEADERSHIP 360 MODEL	ii
METHODOLOGY	iii
VIRTUAL CEO REPORT CARD™	1
PERFORMANCE SCORES OVERVIEW	2
FOCUS QUADRANT ANALYSES	4
LEADERSHIP COMPETENCE RESULTS	8
LEADERSHIP CHARACTER RESULTS	15

Leadership Performance Report

1.0 Leadership Competence What a Leader Does	2.0 Leadership Character Who a Leader Is
1.1 Vision and Strategy	2.1 Leadership Image
1.2 Job Competence	2.2 Developing a Following
1.3 Industry Knowledge	2.3 Judgment/Decision-Making
1.4 Communication Skills	2.4 Ethics/Character
1.5 Leading Change	2.5 Coaching/Mentoring
1.6 Execution	2.6 Building Teams

Assessment and Personal Development Objectives

- 1. Identify performance issues that impede leadership effectiveness.
- 2. Pay particular attention to your **High-Impact/Low-Performance** areas as perceived by yourself, your boss, your peers, and subordinates.
- 3. Prioritize your performance improvement goals.

- 4. Determine the steps (action items) that are necessary. to positively impact on your leadership style.
- 5. Create a Personal Development Plan (PDP).
- 6. Execute your plan.

Methodology

This assessment employs a six-point interval scale to evaluate your perceived leadership effectiveness as measured against 66 best-practice statements. Each statement requires a response ranging from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree", or the participant may choose a "Don't Know/Not Applicable" response. Responses from all participants are aggregated resulting in performance mean scores.

Additionally, the assessment takes the participants through a critical thinking process that prioritizes key leadership effectiveness attributes.

The Leadership Performance Report is designed to reflect:

- 1. Priorities: The areas you, your boss, your peers, and your subordinates view to be the most important leadership attributes, given your current business scenario.
- 2. Performance: How you are perceived as performing against leadership best practices.
- 3. Consensus: The degree to which respondents agree or disagree regarding the evaluated leadership attributes.
- 4. Focus: The areas you view to be both **High-Impact** and **Low-Performance**.

Utilization

- 1. Review the Degree of Impact Summary in order to:
 - Establish a broad understanding of how respondents prioritized the 12 Leadership disciplines.
 - Identify how all respondents evaluated your performance.
- 2. Review the detailed report pages to gain further understanding of the performance scores.
- 3. Compare your perceptions with those of your boss, peers, and subordinates.
- 4. Select your top three to five improvement area and develop a personal plan to improve leadership effectiveness.
- 5. Six to twelve months' later re-take the assessment and compare your results.

Customer Service

For additional support or technical assistance please contact:

Customer Support: Tel 949.248.2404 ext. 0, or E-mail us: admin@quadred.com.

Notice: It is recommended that you utilize the skills of a certified consultant to facilitate the QuadRed[®] Leadership 360 assessment outcomes. This report reflects the views and opinions of the individuals that have completed the **Leadership Performance Assessment**. The information contained within this report does not imply, direct, or recommend specific actions to be taken on the part of the assessment respondents or the evaluated leader.



Leadership Performance Report Card

Capabilities

Constraints

Self

62

67

67

Job Competence

Leading Change

Building Teams


Sample Leadership 360

Your Leadership Performance Index™:

74

Your Prior Year LPI™:

NA



(
Self	
Developing a Following	83
Judgment/Decision-Making	89
Industry Knowledge	87

Boss	
Developing a Following	56
Industry Knowledge	67
Building Teams	54

Boss	
Execution	77
Communication Skills	72
Leading Change	79

	•
Peers	
Developing a Following	48
Building Teams	59
Coaching/Mentoring	60

Peers	
Execution	77
Communication Skills	79
Judgment/Decision-Making	85

Subordinates

74

72

72

Execution

Communication Skills

Judgment/Decision-Making

Subordinates	
Developing a Following	49
Building Teams	41
Coaching/Mentoring	38

This report was produced with input from:

Self Boss

Peers


Subordinates

22-Oct-04

QuadRed, LLC. Results & Key Findings - Sample Leadership 360 - Oct. 22, 2004
Prepared by John Smith (ABC Consulting Company)

Performance Comparison


This report compares the Self, Boss, Peers, and Subordinate Leadership Scores. The table indicates the degree to which the respondents agreed on the prioritization of the Leadership Disciplines. If everyone in a group had selected the same discipline as number one, that discipline would have received a score of 100%. (Self and Boss tables will always start at 100%.)



#	Principal Elements - Self	Impact	Perf.
1	Developing a Following	High	83.33%
2	Job Competence	High	61.90%
3	Judgment/Decision-Making	High	88.89%
4	Industry Knowledge	High	86.67%
5	Leading Change	Med	66.67%
6	Building Teams	Med	66.67%
7	Vision and Strategy	Med	83.33%
8	Ethics/Character	Med	83.33%
9	Execution	Low	61.11%
10	Coaching/Mentoring	Low	78.57%
11	Communication Skills	Low	62.50%
12	Leadership Image	Low	66.67%
	D 1 1 1 E1 1 D	1	Dont
#	Principal Elements - Boss	Impact	Perf.
# 1	Developing a Following	High	55.56%
1		_	-
1 2	Developing a Following	High	55.56%
1 2 3	Developing a Following Execution	High High	55.56% 77.08%
1 2 3	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams	High High High	55.56% 77.08% 66.67%
1 2 3 4 5	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams	High High High High	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17%
1 2 3 4 5	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams Communication Skills Leading Change	High High High High Med	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17% 72.22%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams Communication Skills Leading Change Coaching/Mentoring	High High High High Med	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17% 72.22% 79.17%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams Communication Skills Leading Change Coaching/Mentoring	High High High High Med Med Med	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17% 72.22% 79.17% 50.00%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams Communication Skills Leading Change Coaching/Mentoring Ethics/Character	High High High High Med Med Med Med	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17% 72.22% 79.17% 50.00% 83.33%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Industry Knowledge Building Teams Communication Skills Leading Change Coaching/Mentoring Ethics/Character Leadership Image Judgment/Decision-Making	High High High Med Med Med Med Med Low	55.56% 77.08% 66.67% 54.17% 72.22% 79.17% 50.00% 83.33% 69.44%

Performance Comparison


This report compares the Self, Boss, Peers, and Subordinate Leadership Scores. The table indicates the degree to which the respondents agreed on the prioritization of the Leadership Disciplines. If everyone in a group had selected the same discipline as number one, that discipline would have received a score of 100%. (Self and Boss tables will always start at 100%.)



	, ,		
#	Principal Elements - Peers	Impact	Perf.
1	Developing a Following	High	47.92%
2	Execution	High	77.31%
3	Building Teams	High	59.17%
4	Coaching/Mentoring	High	59.72%
5	Communication Skills	Med	79.17%
6	Judgment/Decision-Making	Med	84.72%
7	Ethics/Character	Med	75.00%
8	Leading Change	Low	58.33%
9	Industry Knowledge	Low	65.38%
10	Vision and Strategy	Low	76.67%
11	Job Competence	Low	62.50%
12	Leadership Image	Low	57.64%
#	Principal Elements - Subordinates	Impact	Perf.
#	•	Impact High	Perf. 48.61%
1		•	-
1	Developing a Following	High	48.61%
1	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams	High High	48.61% 74.07%
1 2 3	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams	High High High	48.61% 74.07% 40.83%
1 2 3 4	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills	High High High Med	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50%
1 2 3 4 5	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills	High High High Med Med	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills Judgment/Decision-Making	High High High Med Med Med	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88% 72.22%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills Judgment/Decision-Making Ethics/Character	High High High Med Med Med Med	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88% 72.22% 71.67%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills Judgment/Decision-Making Ethics/Character Leading Change	High High High Med Med Med Med Med Med	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88% 72.22% 71.67% 45.83%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills Judgment/Decision-Making Ethics/Character Leading Change Industry Knowledge Leadership Image	High High Med Med Med Med Med Med Med Low	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88% 72.22% 71.67% 45.83% 40.74%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Developing a Following Execution Building Teams Coaching/Mentoring Communication Skills Judgment/Decision-Making Ethics/Character Leading Change Industry Knowledge Leadership Image Vision and Strategy	High High Med Med Med Med Med Low Low	48.61% 74.07% 40.83% 37.50% 71.88% 72.22% 71.67% 45.83% 40.74% 45.14%


Degree of Impact (Self)

This section plots the 12 Leadership Disciplines as perceived by the leader being evaluated. The vertical axis represents the Leadership Score and the horizontal axis represents the order of importance, with the highest priority listed at the right. The priority ranking table reflects the Leadership Disciplines in order of weighted priority. The table also indicates the performance mean score.




Degree of Impact (Boss)

This section plots the 12 Leadership Disciplines as perceived by the leader's boss. The vertical axis represents the Leadership Score and the horizontal axis represents the order of importance, with the highest priority listed at the right. The priority ranking table reflects the Leadership Disciplines in order of weighted priority, as determined by the boss. The table also indicates the performance mean score.



Degree of Impact (Peers)

This section plots the 12 Leadership Disciplines as perceived by the leader's peers. The vertical axis represents the Leadership Score and the horizontal axis represents the order of importance, with the highest priority listed at the right. The priority ranking table reflects the Leadership Disciplines in order of weighted priority, as determined by the peers. The table also indicates the performance mean score.





Degree of Impact (Subordinates)


This section plots the 12 Leadership Disciplines as perceived by the person's subordinates. The vertical axis represents the Leadership Score and the horizontal axis represents the order of importance, with the highest priority listed at the right. The priority ranking table reflects the Leadership Disciplines in order of weighted priority, as determined by the subordinates. The table also indicates the performance mean score.




Performance Scores







Performance Scores



Performance Scores

			Leac	ders	hip (Com	pete	ence	•					
Vision and Strategy			FREC		Y OF	RESP			Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
Can clearly explain the reason your	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	83.33%	11.78
company is in business.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	76.67%	9.12
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33	Peers:	76.67%	11.34
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	50%	66.67%	23.57	Subordinates:	74.17%	23.86
Has the ability to anticipate the future	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
needs of the company.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		DK = Don't Know/Not / 1 = Strongly Disagree	Applicable	•
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%	87.50%	8.34	2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96	3 = Somewhat Disagre	e	
3. Has the ability to set a clear course of	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		4 = Somewhat Agree		
direction for the company.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	25%	75.00%	16.67	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	75%	75.00%	28.87			
Can effectively translate vision into a	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
realistic, achievable strategy.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%	0.04			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	75%	79.17%	41.67			
5. Has demonstrated a willingness to take	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
reasonable risks.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	75.00%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33			
lob Competence			FREC	QUENC	CY OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Job Competence		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
Demonstrates excellent skill and	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Self:	61.90%	18.54
experience in his/her functional area.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%		Boss:	80.95%	11.50
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	25%	66.67%	13.61	Peers:	62.50%	11.68
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34	Subordinates:	45.24%	19.17
7. Is often sought after for advice in his/her	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
area of expertise.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%	45.83%	28.46			
8. Is well-read and informed.	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	25%	66.67%	13.61			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	21.52			

Performance Scores

Job Competence (cont.)			FREC	UENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Job Competence (cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
9. Has been able to transition from tactical	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	61.90%	18.54
to strategic responsibilities.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	80.95%	11.50
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%	0.04	Peers:	62.50%	11.68
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	15.96	Subordinates:	45.24%	19.17
10. Is highly organized and disciplined.	Self	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		DK = Don't Know/Not	Applicable	e
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	50%	0%	25%	0%	25%	54.17%	20.97	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61	3 = Somewhat Disagre	e	
11. Has the ability to set up the appropriate		0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		4 = Somewhat Agree		
systems and structures to help the company	Boss Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		5 = Agree		
succeed.	Peers	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	58.33%	9.62	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61			
12. Is able to think creatively and	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
innovatively.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	25.00			
Industry Knowledge			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
illuusii y Kilowieuge		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
13. Has an in-depth understanding of the	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	86.67%	13.94
industry your company serves.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	66.67%	11.78
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	72.22%	9.62	Peers:	65.38%	10.67
	Subordinates	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	8.34	Subordinates:	40.74%	13.06
14. Stays current on industry events.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%	0.00			
	Subordinates	25%	25%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	44.44%	25.46			
15. Clearly understands the strengths and	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
weaknesses of your primary competitors.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	55.56%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	15.96			
16. Understands the complexities of	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
external factors that impact your company.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	_	050/	0%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	61.11%	9.62			
	Peers	25%	076	0 /0	23/0	30 /6	0 /6	0 /0	0 70	01.11/0	9.02			

Performance Scores

Industry Knowledge (cent)			FREQUENCY OF			RESPONSE			Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Industry Knowledge (cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
17. Knows how well your company is doing	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Self:	86.67%	13.94
compared to the other companies in your	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Boss:	66.67%	11.78
industry.	Peers	50%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	0%	25%	75.00%	11.78	Peers:	65.38%	10.67
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62	Subordinates:	40.74%	13.06
Communication Skills			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Communication Skins		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
18. Has the ability to express ideas simply,	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	62.50%	15.96
clearly, and directly.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss:	72.22%	9.62
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%	87.50%	8.34	Peers:	79.17%	9.62
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96	Subordinates:	71.88%	19.92
19. Is at ease and confident presenting	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
before groups.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		DK = Don't Know/Not A	pplicable	€
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%	0.04	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	75%	75.00%	28.87	3 = Somewhat Disagre	9	
20. Believes in keeping others well-	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		4 = Somewhat Agree		
informed.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.04	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%	0%	75%	70.83%	25.00			
21. Freely disseminates important	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
information, where appropriate.	Boss	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96			
Leading Change			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Leading Change		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
22. Knows when it is necessary for the	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Self:	66.67%	13.61
company to initiate significant change.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	79.17%	8.33
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	58.33%	9.62	Peers:	58.33%	12.17
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	20.97	Subordinates:	45.83%	25.46
23. Provides the leadership to begin and	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
sustain the change effort.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	25%	66.67%	13.61			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%	50.00%	23.57			
24. Can effectively express the compelling	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
reasons for change.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	25%	25%	54.17%	34.36			


Performance Scores


Leading Change (cont.)			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos. Mean		Std.	Mean Std.				
Leading Change (Cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.	Score Dev.				
25. Knows how to manage the change	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Self: 66.67% 13.61				
process.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss: 79.17% 8.33				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	58.33%	9.62	Peers: 58.33% 12.17				
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	41.67%	28.87	Subordinates: 45.83% 25.46				
Execution			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.	Mean Std.				
LACCULION		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.	Score Dev.				
26. Has an unwavering resolve to achieve	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Self: 61.11% 16.67				
goals.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss: 77.08% 8.62				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33	Peers: 77.31% 15.51				
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	62.50%	20.97	Subordinates: 74.07% 18.01				
27. Sets clear goals and priorities.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%						
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		DK = Don't Know/Not Applicable				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree				
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96	3 = Somewhat Disagree				
28. Establishes clear measurements for	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		4 = Somewhat Agree				
success.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		5 = Agree				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	50%	75.00%	21.52	6 = Strongly Agree				
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96					
29. Effectively aligns organizational	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%						
resources to achieve goals.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%						
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	75.00%	9.62					
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%	75%	75.00%	16.67					
30. Makes it clear how each employee	Self	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%						
contributes to the company's success.	Boss	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%						
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	58.33%	21.52					
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33					
31. Regularly tracks progress against goals.	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%						
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%						
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	75%	83.33%	13.61					
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	25%	25%	50%	70.83%	28.46					
32. Assigns clear accountability for each	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%						
objective.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%						
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%	91.67%	9.62					
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	25%	25%	50%	70.83%	28.46					


Performance Scores

Execution (cont.)			FREC	QUENC	CY OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Execution (cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
33. Is willing to confront company	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Self:	61.11%	16.67
performance issues.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss:	77.08%	8.62
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96	Peers:	77.31%	15.51
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	25%	75%	79.17%	20.97	Subordinates:	74.07%	18.01
34. Demands results.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.04			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	25%	70.83%	8.33			


Performance Scores







Performance Scores



Performance Scores

Leadership Character														
			FREC	QUENC	CY OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Leadership Image		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
35. Leads by example.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	66.67%	18.26
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss:	69.44%	12.54
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	75.00%	9.62	Peers:	57.64%	18.38
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	54.17%	15.96	Subordinates:	45.14%	18.04
36. Appears comfortable in a leadership	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
position.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		DK = Don't Know/Not	Applicable	•
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	25%	0%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	20.97	3 = Somewhat Disagre	e	
37. Fosters a work environment that is	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		4 = Somewhat Agree	•	
conducive to success.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	54.17%	8.34	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61			
38. Demonstrates personal humility.	Self	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	0.04			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			
39. Shares the credit for successes.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	23.57			
40. Demonstrates personal drive and	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
ambition.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	25.00			
Developing a Following			FREG	QUENC	CY OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Developing a Following		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
41. Creates enthusiasm around key	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	83.33%	10.54
objectives.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Boss:	55.56%	8.61
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61	Peers:	47.92%	12.35
	Subordinates	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	16.67	Subordinates:	48.61%	21.93
42. Is able to gain the commitment his/her	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
managers and employees.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	0.00			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%	45.83%	28.46			

Performance Scores

Developing a Following (cont.)			FREG	QUENC	CY OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
	•)	DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
43. Has effective interpersonal skills.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Self:	83.33%	10.54
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Boss:	55.56%	8.61
	Peers	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	8.34	Peers:	47.92%	12.35
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%	25%	62.50%	25.00	Subordinates:	48.61%	21.93
44. Is a good consensus builder.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		DK = Don't Know/Not A	Applicabl	е
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%	50.00%	23.57	3 = Somewhat Disagre	Δ	
45. Enjoys engaging with people.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		4 = Somewhat Agree	•	
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	8.34	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	15.96			
46. Is willing to consider ideas that are	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
contrary to his/her own.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%	45.83%	28.46			
Decision Meking/Judgment			FREC	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Decision-Making/Judgment		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
47. Is able to make timely decisions.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	88.89%	9.62
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	88.89%	9.62
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.04	Peers:	84.72%	8.58
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%	75%	75.00%	16.67	Subordinates:	72.22%	21.71
48. Is able to deal with complex issues.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.04			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	75%	75.00%	28.87			
49. Offers solutions that effectively address	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
problems.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	75%	87.50%	15.96			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	50%	66.67%	23.57			
Ethics/Character			FREC	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Etmics/Character		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
50. Demonstrates that personal ethics	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%		Self:	83.33%	11.78
guide his/her decisions.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss:	83.33%	16.67
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%	50%	79.17%	15.96	Peers:	75.00%	12.68
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	25%	66.67%	13.61	Subordinates:	71.67%	15.39

Performance Scores

Ethics/Character (cont.)			FREQUENCY OF I				RESPONSE			Pos. Mean		d.		Std.
Ethics/Character (cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
51. Acts in accordance with organizational	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	83.33%	11.78
values.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Boss:	83.33%	16.67
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96	Peers:	75.00%	12.68
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	50%	66.67%	23.57	Subordinates:	71.67%	15.39
52. Follows through on his/her promises.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%		DK = Don't Know/Not A	Applicable)
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	75%	79.17%	8.33	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	25%	66.67%	13.61	3 = Somewhat Disagre	e	
53. Will not sacrifice ethics for better	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		4 = Somewhat Agree		
financial performance.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100.00%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%	0.04	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	75%	83.33%	13.61			
54. Puts the needs of the organization	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
ahead of his/her personal aspirations.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	75.00%	9.62			
Coaching/Mentoring			FREG	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Coaching/Mentoring		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
55. Takes the time to develop and mentor	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	78.57%	8.13
his/her staff.	Boss	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%		Boss:	50.00%	14.91
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61	Peers:	59.72%	16.97
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61	Subordinates:	37.50%	13.32
56. Knows when to personally manage	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
projects and when to let his/her staff have	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
control.	Peers	0%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	23.57			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			
57. Gives subordinates the freedom to	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%				
make mistakes.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	25%	72.22%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29.17%	8.33			
58. Confronts low performers.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	61.11%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61			

Performance Scores

Cosching/Montoring (cont.)									Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
Coaching/Mentoring (cont.)		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
59. Rewards top performers.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	78.57%	8.13
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%		Boss:	50.00%	14.91
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	50%	75.00%	21.52	Peers:	59.72%	16.97
	Subordinates	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	16.67	Subordinates:	37.50%	13.32
60. Seems to genuinely care about people.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Boss	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%		DK = Don't Know/Not A	Applicable	е
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	55.56%	9.62	1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree		
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	20.97	3 = Somewhat Disagre	е	
61. Tries balance company goals with	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		4 = Somewhat Agree		
employee aspirations.	Boss	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%		5 = Agree		
	Peers	25%	0%	0%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	55.56%	9.62	6 = Strongly Agree		
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	8.34			
Building Teams			FREC	QUENC	Y OF	RESP	ONSE		Pos.	Mean	Std.		Mean	Std.
		DK	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Score	Dev.		Score	Dev.
62. Promotes teamwork and collaboration.	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	83.33%		Self:	66.67%	11.78
	Boss	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%		Boss:	54.17%	15.96
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	58.33%	9.62	Peers:	59.17%	12.65
	Subordinates	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	13.61	Subordinates:	40.83%	11.44
63. Acts as a good team member him or	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
herself.	Boss	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.33%				
	Peers	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45.83%	8.34			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	8.34			
64. Rewards team performance at par or	Self	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%				
greater than individual performance.	Boss	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	62.50%	8.34			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			
65. Encourages team members to be open	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
and honest about their individual strengths	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
and weaknesses.	Peers	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	58.33%	9.62			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50.00%	13.61			
66. Knows how to put the right people on	Self	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
the right teams.	Boss	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%				
	Peers	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	50%	70.83%	15.96			
	Subordinates	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.67%	9.62			